

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/568,374	02/14/2006	Bernardus Petrus Gerardus D'Hont	NL031001	9262		
24737 PHII IPS INTI	7590 03/03/201 ELLECTUAL PROPER		EXAM	INER		
P.O. BOX 300	1		ALEXANDER, REGINALD			
BRIARCLIFF	MANOR, NY 10510		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER			
			3742			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			03/03/2010	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)			
10/568,374	D'HONT, BERNARDUS PETRUS GERARDUS			
Examiner	Art Unit			
Reginald L. Alexander	3742			

		Reginald L. Alexander	3742				
The MAILING DAT Period for Reply	E of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	ldress			
A SHORTENED STATUT WHICHEVER IS LONGE - Extensions of time may be availar after SIX (6) MONTHS from the r - If NO period for reply is specified - Failure to reply within the set or r	R, FROM THE MAILING DA ble under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.15 nailing date of this communication, above, the maximum statutory period w xtended period for reply will, by statute, ater than three months after the mailing	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin 18 apply, and will expire SIX (6) MONTH'S from cause the application to become ABANDONE date of this communication, even if timely filed.	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,			
Status	33.01.01.11.01(0)						
1) Responsive to com	munication(s) filed on 19 Ja	nuary 2010.					
2a) This action is FINA		action is non-final.					
/	/—	ice except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the	e merits is			
		x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45					
Disposition of Claims							
·	40.4714						
	<u>d 8-17</u> is/are pending in the aim(s) is/are withdrav						
5) Claim(s) is/a		WITHOUT CONSIDERATION.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3, 5, 6 a</u>							
7) Claim(s) is/a							
	subject to restriction and/or	election requirement					
0) <u> </u>	oubjoot to roomonom unitro	olocion roquiromonii					
Application Papers							
	objected to by the Examine						
		epted or b) objected to by the I					
		drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See					
	, .	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj					
11)☐ The oath or declara	tion is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P	ГО-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some	°c)□ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	ı-(d) or (f).				
= .	ies of the priority documents						
		have been received in Applicati					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (F	TO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Pate	nt Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite				

				0-892)		
				Drawing	Review	(PTO-948)
П		 -				

Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	
5) Notice of Informal Patent Applicati	on
6) Other:	

Art Unit: 3742

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

-----, ... ,

Claims 1-3, 5, 6 and 8-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The specification fails to provide support for the subject matter added to claims 1 and 11 involving the formation of the protrusions with respect to the brew chamber upper wall.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-3, 5, 6 and 8-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

It is unclear what structural arrangement is being described by "radiate diagonally outward from a diameter of the circular-shaped upper wall". There is no clear reference point on the upper wall established which would allow one to know from where on the wall and from what direction the protrusions radiate.

Art Unit: 3742

It is unclear what structural arrangement is being described by "any of the rows and columns that pass through the diameter of the circular-shaped upper wall have a protrusion positioned on the diameter of the circular-shaped upper wall". Again there is no established reference point on the upper wall by the use of the term "diameter". It is not clear if the "protrusions" recited in this phrase are the same as the others or additional protrusions.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3, 5, 6 and 8-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO 01/15582 (Kraan) in view of Beaulieu et al.

There is disclosed in Kraan a beverage making device, comprising: a brewing chamber 24 for enclosing a pad containing a substance for brewing, the brewing chamber having an upper wall 34 with one or more holes through which heated water can enter the brewing chamber, the upper wall being pivotable from a horizontal brewing position to an open position, wherein the upper wall is provided with a plurality of protrusions, the protrusions being generally circular in diameter, having substantially straight side walls at the point of which they contact the upper wall, and a removable part 4.

Art Unit: 3742

Beaulieu discloses protrusions 178 on an upper wall 40 of a brewing chamber, the protrusions coming in contact with a pad or cartridge within the brewing chamber and having a surface furthest from the upper wall which is substantially straight.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify the protrusion surfaces of Kraan that contact the pad, with that taught in Beaulieu, and form them substantially straight, in order to increase the surface area of the protrusions which contacts the pad.

Kraan discloses the claimed invention except for the recited protrusion heights, diameters and distance therebetween. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to construct the protrusions at the claimed sizes, since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art.

Additionally, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to construct the protrusions of the claimed height and distance therebetween, since applicant has not disclosed that such heights and distances solves any stated problem or is for any particular purpose and it appears that the invention would perform equally well with that disclosed in Kraan.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 3742

The newly submitted subject matter involving the pattern of the protrusions on the upper wall of the brew chamber is not clear. Thus, the claims, as best understood, appear to read on the prior art as presented in the previous office action.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Reginald L. Alexander whose telephone number is 571-272-1395. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tu Hoang can be reached on 571-272-4780. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/568,374 Page 6

Art Unit: 3742

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Reginald L. Alexander/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 3742